(*d*) whether the District Collector recommended the appointment of an additional Treasury Officer to assist Mr. Seshagiri Rao Pantulu and the reasons for such recommendations;

(*e*) whether an additional Treasury Deputy Collector was ever appointed before for Chatrapur Treasury;

(*f*) what is the amount of money transacted during the last two years 1925–26 and 1926-27 at Chatrapur Treasury and what is the extent of the same in the previous five years;

(*g*) whether the Government are aware that there is a branch of the Imperial Bank of India at Berhampur; and

(*h*) whether all financial transactions could not be done by and through the Bank and abolish the Treasury at Chatrapur?

*A*.—(*a*) An I.C.S. officer has since been posted to Berhampur division.

(*b*), (*c*) & (*d*) Mr. Seshagiri Rao Pantulu referred to in clause (*c*) is the permanent Treasury Deputy Collector, Ganjam. An additional Deputy Collector was required for a period of two months from 1st September 1928 to relieve the permanent Treasury Deputy Collector to enable him to conduct the annual inspection of the sub-treasuries in the district. Sriman D. Mahanty Mahasayo was accordingly posted as additional Treasury Deputy Collector, Ganjam, for this purpose.

(*e*) Yes. An additional Treasury Deputy Collector is usually appointed whenever the permanent Treasury Deputy Collector is engaged on the inspection of sub-treasuries.

(*f*)—

| Year. | Receipts. | | | Disbursements. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | RS. | A. | P. | RS. | A. | P. |
| 1920-21 .. .. .. | 1,35,54,810 | 2 | 8 | 1,35,16,815 | 14 | 4 |
| 1921-22 .. .. .. | 1,35,13,800 | 5 | 8 | 1,35,72,836 | 2 | 1 |
| 1922-23 .. .. .. | 1,28,62,799 | 5 | 5 | 1,29,84,100 | 10 | 5 |
| 1923-24 .. .. .. | 1,73,36,416 | 4 | 8 | 1,74,44,026 | 5 | 0 |
| 1924-25 .. .. .. | 2,04,08,271 | 6 | 10 | 2,04,87,806 | 8 | 8 |
| 1925-26 .. .. .. | 2,00,34,488 | 7 | 0 | 2,00,77,574 | 14 | 9 |
| 1926-27 .. .. .. | 2,08,89,870 | 1 | 0 | 2,09,12,057 | 2 | 6 |

(*g*) Yes.

(*h*) No. The Bank only receives Government dues and makes disbursements for Government. It does not maintain the necessary special accounts or conduct the audit required by Government. The establishment of a branch of the Imperial Bank at a district headquarters does not enable Government to abolish a treasury. It only results in the reduction of the treasury branch and leaves unaffected the audit and account branches under the Treasury Deputy Collector.

*Tenure of the present Deputy Collector in charge of the Ganjam Treasury in Chatrapur.*

*738-B *Q*.—Mr. B. Ramachandra Reddi: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) for how long the present Deputy Collector in charge of Ganjam Treasury has been in Chatrapur; and

(*b*) for how long the Berhampur division will be under Deputy Collector, a division usually held by a member of the Indian Civil Service?

A.—(a) The present Treasury Deputy Collector, Ganjam, has been in charge of the Ganjam Treasury from 20th August 1924 with short breaks on account of leave.

(b) The hon. Member is referred to the answer to clause (a) of question No. 738-A.

*Appointment of an additional Treasury Deputy Collector to Chatrapur Treasury.*

*738-C Q.—Mr. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the reasons for appointing an additional Treasury Deputy Collector to the Chatrapur Treasury;

(b) whether an additional Treasury Deputy Collector was ever appointed before for Chatrapur Treasury;

(c) what is the amount of money transacted during the last two years 1925–26 and 1926–27 at Chatrapur Treasury and what is the extent of the same in the previous five years;

(d) whether the Government are aware that there is a branch of the Imperial Bank of India at Berhampur; and

(e) why all financial transactions could not be done by and through the Imperial Bank thereby abolishing the Treasury at Chatrapur?

A.—(a) to (e) The hon. Member is referred to the answer to clauses (b) and (e) to (h) of question No. 738-A.

*Deputy Tahsildars in Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Guntur districts.*

*739-A Q.—Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the number, qualifications and the community to which the acting and permanent Deputy Tahsildars in the Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Guntur districts belong;

(b) whether it is a fact that there is great disproportion between Brahman and non-Brahman Deputy Tahsildars in the district of Ganjam; and

(c) whether the Government propose to take steps to recruit and promote qualified non-Brahmans as Deputy Tahsildars in the Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Guntur districts?

A.—(a) & (b) The hon. Member is referred to the Revenue Establishment lists of the districts corrected up to 1st April 1928 which are available for reference in the Secretariat Library.

(c) The hon. Member's attention is invited to G.O. No. 613, Public, dated 16th September 1921, and to G.O. No. 658, Public, dated 15th August 1922, regarding communal representation in the Public Service. These Government Orders have been published. Rules to regulate recruitment to the subordinate services are under the consideration of Government and in that connexion Government will consider whether the rules should provide for the recruitment of different communities provided qualified persons are available. Such rules however will not be confined to the district mentioned in the question nor to the community mentioned.